



## EPA Puget Sound Financial and Ecosystem Accounting Tracking System (FEATS)

Photo by Rebecca Pirtle, Editor, Kingston Community News (Doe-Kag-Wats Estuary of the Suquamish Tribe)

### PROJECT INFORMATION

<b>1. Federal Grant Number</b>	PA-00J322-01	<b>*2a. Reporting Period Start Date:</b>	10/1/2011	<b>*2b. Reporting Period End Date:</b>	3/31/2012
<b>3. Recipient Organization (Name and complete address including zip code)</b> Name: Northwest Indian Fisheries Commissi Address 1: 6730 Martin Way East Address 2: City: Olympia State: WA Zip Code: 98516-5540		<b>4. Project Manager Contact Information</b> Name: Terry Wright Phone: (360) 528-4336 Ext: Fax: ( ) - Email: wright@nwifc.org			
<b>5a. Program (RFP)</b>  <b>Tribal Lead Org</b>	<b>5b. Project Title</b>  Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission Lead Organization Award	<b>*6. Collaborating Organizations/Partners</b>  None  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Subawardee</b> 21 Tribes/Tribal Consortiums			

<b>Submission Instructions:</b> EPA fills in the white boxes. Grantee fills in the yellow boxes (boxes with asterisks). Refer to guidance document for how to fill out the boxes.  After completing the form, save and e-mail it to the Project Officer and cc: the Technical Monitor.	<b>Project Officer:</b> Lisa Chang <b>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</b> <b>Email:</b> chang.lisa@epa.gov  <b>Technical Monitor:</b> Daniel Steinborn <b>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</b> <b>Email:</b> steinborn.daniel@epa.gov	<b>*7a. Name/Title of Person Submitting Report</b>	Tiffany Waters PS Recovery Proj. Coordinator
		<b>*7b. Date Report Submitted</b>	5/2/2012

## FUNDING/COST ANALYSIS

8a. Total EPA Assistance Amount Awarded:	\$8,479,999.47	8b. Funding Year (Federal Fiscal Year Funds Appropriated)	FY 2011 ----- ----- -----	*9. Total EPA Amount Expended To-Date:	\$822,436.02	*10. Funds Drawn Down from EPA To-Date:	\$744,358.00
11. Match Amount Required	\$0.00	*12. Total Match Amount Expended and Documented To-Date:	\$0.00	*13. Have you experienced any cost overruns or high unit costs?	No		
*14. What issues or questions do you need the EPA Project Officer or Technical Monitor to respond to?		None					

## BUDGET UPDATE

	15a. APPROVED BUDGET			*15b. SPENT TO-DATE		
	EPA	MATCH	TOTAL	EPA	MATCH	TOTAL
Personnel	\$106,625.00	\$0.00	\$106,625.00	\$62,380.08	\$0.00	\$62,380.08
Fringe Benefits	\$33,473.71	\$0.00	\$33,473.71	\$21,060.08	\$0.00	\$21,060.08
Travel	\$4,316.00	\$0.00	\$4,316.00	\$3,419.40	\$0.00	\$3,419.40
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00		\$0.00	\$ 0.00
Supplies	\$3,115.99	\$0.00	\$3,115.99	\$2,266.95	\$0.00	\$2,266.95
Contracts	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	\$25,000.00		\$0.00	\$ 0.00
Other	\$8,229,952.60	\$0.00	\$8,229,952.60	\$693,903.34	\$0.00	\$693,903.34
TOTAL DIRECT CHARGES	\$8,403,283.30	\$0.00	\$8,403,283.30		\$0.00	\$ 0.00
Indirect Charges	\$76,716.17	\$0.00	\$76,716.17	\$39,406.17	\$0.00	\$39,406.17
TOTAL	\$8,479,999.47	\$0.00	\$8,479,999.47	\$822,436.02	\$0.00	\$822,436.02
*Explain Any Discrepancies:	The Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission operates on a reimbursement system with our subrecipient tribes. We are unable to determine how much revenue will be required from month to month.					

## ECOSYSTEM GOALS ADDRESSED

16a. Primary Goal	Healthy Habitat
16b. Additional Goals	Healthy Species    Water Quality    Water Quantity    -----    -----    -----

## DIRECT THREATS ADDRESSED

17a. Primary Threat	-----
17b. Secondary Threat(s)	Climate Change    Dams/Levees/Tidegates    Derelict Gear/Vessels    Development    Invasive Species - Terrestrial Invasive Species - Marine
	Large Scale Timber Harvest    Shoreline Armoring    Surface Water Loading/Runoff from the Built Env    -----

## LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND ACTION AGENDA

18a. Strategic Priorities Employed	Priority A    Priority B    Priority C    Priority D    Priority E
18b. Near-Term Actions Supported	
18c. Other Actions Supported	

## LINKAGES TO EPA PUGET SOUND MEASURES

19. Measure(s)	Habitat Restored/Protected    -----    -----
----------------	--

## LINKAGES TO PUGET SOUND DASHBOARD INDICATORS

20a. Primary Indicator	-----
20b. Additional Indicators	Marine Water Quality Index    Stream Flows Below Critical Levels    Wild Chinook Salmon    Pacific Herring Shoreline Armoring

## PROJECT LOCATION

21a. Latitude		21b. Longitude	
21c. Hydrologic Unit Code	171100 - Sound-wide	-----	-----
21d. Action Area	Sound-wide	-----	-----

## MEASURES OF SUCCESS (Key Grant Outputs)

*22a. Description (e.g., "shellfish beds reopened")	*22b. Unit (e.g., "acres")	*22c. Project Target ("number")	*22d. Project Measure To-Date ("number")
Developed and distributed a final RFP to 21 Tribes and Tribal Consortiums for each fiscal year (FY10 - FY11)	RFP Document	2	2
Developed and engaged in a Coordination Plan, disseminating and sharing a subrecipient project information document each fiscal year (FY10 - FY11) with tribes and LO group	Subrecipient Proposal Information	2	2
Approved 21 subrecipient proposals, communicated award notification and executed contracts to all subrecipients for each fiscal year (FY10 - FY11)	Subrecipient Contracts in Place	42	38
Conducted 7 subrecipient site visits for each fiscal year (FY10 - FY11) to assess project progress and compliance with award requirements.	Site Visits	14	1

## PROJECT MILESTONES

**Instructions:** In the tables below, please explain your progress toward meeting agreed outputs for the period, **reasons for slippages**, and any additional information including **reflections, lessons learned, and/or thoughtful analysis**. When appropriate, include analysis and information of **cost overruns or high unit costs**, and changes to work plan or budget not requiring prior approval from EPA. We encourage photo documentation - please attach to the report as a separate document.

**23a. Work Plan Component/Task:** 1. Program development and launch

**23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed:** D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

**\*23c. Estimated Costs:** \$17,321.47

**Actual Costs to Date:** \$9,102.85

(If required by PO)

23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
1.1	Communication/outreach plan	1.14.11, 7.12.11	COMPLETED	Communication/outreach plan	NWIFC developed a communication/outreach plan for FY10 and FY11 that consisted of: (1) a transmittal note for the RFP; (2) a mailing distribution list that

					<p>ensured that all eligible entities were notified equitably, timely, and thoroughly; and (3) a target date for releasing the RFP.</p> <p>Due to our previous interactions and current relationships with our member tribes, we had in place a distribution list that contained pertinent tribal contacts. We vetted this distribution list to ensure that the proper contacts were included and additionally amended the list to include contacts from the two non-member tribes and two tribal consortiums. We have continued to add to this distribution list as needed and requested.</p>
1.2	RFP development and distribution	1.25.11, 7.15.11	COMPLETED	Final RFP distributed	<p>NWIFC developed the final FY10 through close consultation with the EPA, utilizing and editing the FY08 proposal to finalize the FY10's fundamental components and timeline. Additions to the FY10 RFP included: (1) language that fully described the intent of these funds; (2) requirements for all projects that collect environmental data to have a QAPP in place prior to data collection; and (3) logic model terminology. While we didn't include the traditional logic model table format, we utilized the logic model terminology to request specific outputs and outcomes per task.</p> <p>The FY10 RFP was then used as a template to develop subsequent fiscal year RFPs. Additions to the FY11 RFP included: (1) adding PSP Ecosystem Recovery Targets as eligible activities under</p>

					<p>this award; (2) a request to describe how the potential impacts of climate change will be addressed in the planning and implementation of the subrecipient project; and (3) additional guidance regarding the information needed in the budget narrative, including a task delineated budget appendix. In consultation with the EPA Project Officer, we finalized the proposal review process and timeline.</p> <p>As planned, we distributed the final RFP for FY10 on 1.25.11 and for FY11 on 7.15.11.</p>
1.3	Coordination plan	1.31.11	COMPLETED	Coordination plan	<p>NWIFC developed a coordination plan that can be executed throughout the project period and includes: (1) ensuring that the PSP is aware of the aims and activities of the subrecipient projects by enlisting them as a key reviewer of these subawards; (2) engaging the EPA Project Officer to discuss the capacity awards that the subrecipient projects are concurrently receiving, in order to avoid duplicative funding efforts; (3) engaging in existing processes and groups to disseminate and share subrecipient project information, including the ECB, the Leadership Council, the PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and the PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus; (4) participating in LO meetings to ensure that other LOs are fully award of our subrecipient projects and vice versa; (5) an existing NWIFC website that is dedicated to information related to Puget</p>

					Sound Partnership and Treaty Tribes of Western Washington.
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		

**23a. Work Plan Component/Task:** 2. Award cycle

**23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed:** D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.

**\*23c. Estimated Costs:** \$8,290,175.25

**Actual Costs to Date:** \$744,393.29

(If required by PO)

23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
2.1	Reviewing subaward proposals	7.6.2011, 3.21.11	COMPLETED	Project files set up; comments from all reviewers documented; input shared with applicants	All project files have been set up, with all digital files held by the Projects Coordinator and all final hard copies held by the Contracts Specialist.  For FY10 and FY11, NIWFC has received, documented, and shared input from the NWIFC, EPA, and PSP review teams with all 21 subrecipients.
2.2	Receive final proposals and make subawards	8.2.2011, 3.31.11	CURRENT	Final workplans addressing key input received; 65% of funds awarded by 10/10/11; 100% by 11/14/11; all recipients informed of award requirements	For FY10, NWIFC has successfully communicated with all 21 subreceptients to address key input and all final workplans have been received, approved, and awarded. 65% of funds were awarded by 5/19/2011 and 100% of funds were awarded by 8/2/2011.  For FY11, NWIFC has successfully communicated with 17 of 21 subrecipients to address key input and receive final

					<p>workplans for review and approval. The 4 tribes left to contract have received key input and NWIFC is working them to address reviewer comments. 65% of FY11 funds were awarded by 2/9/12 and 100% of funds are expected to be awarded by 5/15/12.</p> <p>All contractred recipients have been informed of award requirements, as included in their NWIFC contract (including EPA Administrative and Programmatic Conditions; Anti-lobbying Certification; MBE/WBE Certification; Federal Financial Report; EPA FEATS; OMB Circulars A-87, A-133 &amp; A-102; 15 CFR Part 24 &amp; Part 28; 2 CFR Part 1326, Subpart C; and 40 CFR Part 34).</p>
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		

<b>23a. Work Plan Component/Task:</b> 3. Program management					
<b>23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed:</b> D.3., NTA 3: Fund tribes to participate in the refinement and implementation of the Action Agenda, including salmon recovery plans.					
<b>*23c. Estimated Costs:</b> \$172,502.75 <b>Actual Costs to Date:</b> \$39,383.67 <b>(If required by PO)</b>					
23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
3.1	Support/meet with awardees	Ongoing	CURRENT	All subrecipients understand applicable award requirements	All subrecipients have been contacted via phone, email, or in person and the appropriate



					support has continued to be given in regards to the award process and applicable award requirements. The Projects Coordinator has retained and filed all email correspondence and has maintained a phone log tracking all substantive phone conversations.
3.2	Conduct project monitoring	Ongoing	CURRENT	Subawardee reporting requirements met; site visits conducted to 33% of funded projects (year 1); site visit and progress reports prepared and made available; all recipients in compliance with applicable award requirements	<p>For the first reporting period of 4.1.11 - 9.30.11, all FY10 subreipients submitted FEATS progress reports to the Projects Coordinator who reviewed progress to ensure that all subrecipients were in compliance with applicable award requirements, including but not limited to: proper budget invoicing, project timeline adherence, task and output progress (including project requirements such as QAPP and permit approval), draw-down rate versus expenditures. When necessary, the Projects Coordinator communicated with subrecipients to clarify information provided in the FEATS report and ascertain additional project progress. All subrecipient FEATS were sent to the Contracts Specialist for final review and approval; and all FEATS and applicable attachments were posted online to the Tribal Project Updates section of the PSP/NWIFC website.</p> <p>In anticipation of the second subrecipient reporting due on 4.30.12, the Projects Coordinator pre-populated all FY11 contracted subrecipient FEATS reports with their FY11 project specific information and emailed each</p>

				<p>subrecipient project coordinator their FEATS report on 3.31.12, providing 30 days to complete their report. The Projects Coordinator also emailed all FY10 subrecipient project coordinators a notification on 3.31.12 that they had 30 days to update their FY10 FEATS report with their project's progress. Upon receiving FEATS reports in 30 days, NWIFC will engage in review and approval of all FY10 and FY11 subrecipient reports.</p> <p>One FY10 site visit has occurred to Makah Nation on 8.23.11 in which the Projects Coordinator and the Salmon Recovery Projects Coordinator traveled to Neah Bay to assess Makah's project progress and compliance with award requirements (including, but not limited to - adherence to workplan timeline; progress and completion of tasks and outputs; QAPP development, review, and/or approval status; obstacles or problems encountered by subrecipient; progress report requirement adherence; review of funds spent and/or high award balances, if applicable; and subrecipient questions regarding award conditions, including reporting requirements).</p> <p>All site visit reports are held at NWIFC and are available upon request. Six additional site visits for FY10 will occur to monitor subrecipient progress and award requirement compliance. The second site visit will occur on</p>
--	--	--	--	--

					<p>5.17.12; the subsequent five site visits are anticipated to occur in the summer of 2012, to be completed by early fall 2012.</p> <p>FY11 site visits are not scheduled to begin until fall 2012.</p>
3.3	Execute coordination plan	4.1.11, 1.10.12	CURRENT	Exchange of project lists between LOs	<p>NWIFC continued to: (1) engage the PSP as a key reviewer of these subawards; (2) meet with the EPA Project Officer to discuss relevant capacity awards of the subrecipients to ensure funding duplication did not occur; (3) participate in ECB, Leadership Council, PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus meetings, and developed a list of subrecipient projects for FY10 and FY11. For FY10, this list was presented to other LOs and interested parties at the PSP Ecosystem Coordination Board meeting on 4.1.11. For FY11, this list was sent to the LO Coordinator's listserve on 1.10.12; (4) participate in scheduled LO meetings; and (5) update the PSP/NWIFC website to include meeting notes for ECB, Leadership Council, PSP Salmon Recovery Council, and PSP/Federal/Tribal Caucus meetings.</p>
3.4	Reporting and adaptive management	11.30.11, 4.20.12	CURRENT	LO reporting requirements fulfilled; quarterly check-in meetings/calls with EPA PO	<p>Administration of the program, including periodic progress review, has been coordinated by the Projects Coordinator, under the tutelage of the Salmon Recovery Projects Coordinator. NWIFC continues to be in close contact with NWIFC's EPA Project Officer, engaging in regular check-ins to clarify EPA proposal reviews and discuss challenges</p>

					<p>faced within the review process. The EPA Projects Officer has been extremely helpful and communicative during the entirety of this award process.</p> <p>The LO reporting requirements were successfully met for the reporting period of 4.1.11 - 9.30.11. The LO reporting requirements are in the process of being fulfilled for the 10.1.11 - 3.31.12 reporting period (as being submitted through this FEATS report).</p>
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		

<b><u>23a. Work Plan Component/Task:</u></b>					
<b><u>23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed:</u></b>					
<b><u>*23c. Estimated Costs:</u></b> <b><u>Actual Costs to Date:</u></b> <b><u>(If required by PO)</u></b>					
<b>23d. Sub-Task No.</b>	<b>23e. Sub-Task Description</b>	<b>*23f. Date</b>	<b>*23g. Status</b>	<b>23h. Outputs/Deliverables</b>	<b>*23i. Remarks</b>
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		

<b><u>23a. Work Plan Component/Task:</u></b>
--

**23b. Action Agenda Action(s) Addressed:**

**\*23c. Estimated Costs:**

**Actual Costs to Date:**

**(If required by PO)**

23d. Sub-Task No.	23e. Sub-Task Description	*23f. Date	*23g. Status	23h. Outputs/Deliverables	*23i. Remarks
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		
			-----		

**CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS (specific to reporting period)**

*24a. Task No., Sub-Task No.	*24b. Challenge	*24c. Solution
Task 2.2 (Review Final Proposals and Make Subawards):	<p>As with FY10, the FY11 review process took longer than anticipated due to:</p> <p>(1) there are a high number of proposals that need need revisions and/or have been requested to be reviewed (a "pre-review") before being sent to the EPA/PSP Review Team. We work with subrecipients to polish their proposals to a minimum standard before sending off for broader review; and</p> <p>(2) the post-packaged review revision process in which we work with our subrecipients to address reviewer comments within their workplans can be an extended process, dependent upon the subrecipient capacity to address reviewer comments in a timely manner and/or amount/level of revisions needed within a revised proposal before it can be approved.</p> <p>Overall, for FY11 the pre-review period averaged 4.5 weeks (with a range of 0 - 24 weeks); the technical EPA and PSP reviews averaged 3.5 and 3 weeks (with a range of 2 - 8 weeks and 1 - 9.5 weeks), respectively; and the post-packed review revision process averaged 5.5 weeks (with a range between 0 - 15.5 weeks). There were also, at the end of this reporting period, 4 tribes left to contract.</p>	<p>We will take the FY11 pre-review, EPA/PSP review periods, and post-packaged review periods into consideration in developing our FY12 timeline and will continue working diligently with our tribes to assist them in addressing reviewer comments as quickly as they are able.</p> <p>When the review and award period of a subrecipient proposal extends beyond our original anticipated date in which all proposals were to be approved, we provide the appropriate guidance to our subrecipients in regards to amending their workplans to reflect dates that are more representative of when award funds will be available to be spent.</p>

	While we took the FY10 NWIFC pre-review, EPA/PSP review periods, and post-packaged review periods into consideration in developing our FY11 timeline, the FY11 review periods for such were extended beyond that of FY10. Thus, the 10/10 date in which 65% of funds were to be awarded and the 11/14 date in which 100% of funds were to be awarded were therefore not feasible.	
Task 3.2 (Conduct Project Monitoring)	The process (content,schedule, and responsible party) for the technical systems reviews (TSRs) was unclear.	<p>The Projects Coordinator, the EPA Projects Officer, and a representative from the EPA QA office discussed the procedures for both TSRs and Quality Systems Reviews (QSRs), determining the technical audit that would be most appropriate for these awards was the TSR.</p> <p>It was determined that the Projects Coordinator would add the QAPP review and status as a variable for her site visit risk evaluation matrix. Site visits will then provide an opportunity to ascertain if a TSR is needed and/or requested. The Projects Coordinator will then contact the EPA with the TSR need and/or request for the subrecipient, providing the project status. The EPA QA staff member, accompanied by the Projects Coordinator, will then administer the TSR.</p>
Task 3.3 (Execute Coordination Plan)	A personnel shift occurred and NWIFC enlisted a new Puget Sound Policy Analyst. In the past, this position was responsible for engaging in the scheduled LO meetings.	<p>Due to knowledge of the administrative processes and tribal implementation projects within this award, the Projects Coordinator began participating as the NWIFC representative for the scheduled LO meetings.</p> <p>This transition has gone well and the Projects Coordinator has enlisted the participation of the new Puget Sound Policy Analyst when he is available and/or when the agenda of the LO meetings indicates that the presence of a policy representative at the meeting is necessary.</p>

## HIGHLIGHTS/LESSONS LEARNED/REFLECTIONS

**\*25.**

(1) HIGHLIGHT: While all 21 subrecipient proposals contain projects that will and are substantially contributing to the restoration and protection of Puget Sound, we have chosen to highlight the progress of Point No Point Treaty Council's FY10 project, Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe's FY11 project, and Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe's FY10 project within this FEATS report. Additional tribal project accomplishments are in the process of being reported to NWIFC for this reporting period. Once NWIFC has reviewed and approved subrecipient FEATS reports, due to NWIFC on 4/30/12, they will be posted online to the PSP/NWIFC website (<http://blogs.nwifc.org/psp/>).

Point No Point Treaty Council (FY10) - Indian Island Baitfish Monitoring Study: The Quality Assurance Project Plan was completed, potential spawning beaches around Indian Island were identified, a systematic randomized sampling design was developed to collect quantitative sample of egg bearing beach substrates, laboratory procedures for separating eggs from substrate sample were investigated, a prototype of an egg separating device (e.g. Elutriator) was constructed and its efficiency for removing surf smelt eggs verified, and all field sampling for the first year of the project has been completed. Forage fish eggs from approximately one third of the samples have been identified and enumerated. Once all samples have been analyzed, a total seasonal estimate of spawn deposition will be calculated. Preliminary data analysis revealed that high densities of Pacific sand lance eggs were captured on beaches identified as surf smelt spawning habitats. The elutriation method for separating surf smelt eggs from substrates does not appear to be as effective for separating sand lance eggs from substrate fines. Adjustments to the current elutriation method will be investigated to increase the recovery rate of sand lance eggs.

Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe (FY11) - Elwha Floodplain Revegetation and Fisheries Monitoring Support: While this project is in the very early stages of development, LEKT has engaged in an extensive amount of project planning and can count the following accomplishments: (1) developed cooperative agreement with NOAA; (2) successfully updated permits; (3) completed workplan and Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for juvenile and adult monitoring activities; (4) negotiated landowner agreements for two smolt trap sites and constructed and installed two smolt traps. Through this process, the presence of juvenile coho salmon has been documented in Indian Creek and Little River, which has advanced the trapping schedule by one year; (5) permitted helicopter work in Olympic National Park and determined the refueling and service area for helicopter flight.

Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe (FY10) - Jimmycomelately Creek and Estuary Restoration: A Five Year Report; Determining the magnitude, extent, contributing sources, and possible impacts of elevated nutrients in Sequim and Dungeness Bays: The contract for producing the Jimmycomelately Creek and Estuary Restoration report was secured, the data analysis for the physical channel, hydrology, salmon use, vegetation, water quality, and bird data were completed. All chapters were reviewed by at least two reviewers and the finalized text has been submitted to the Tribe's publication specialist for formatting. Printing and distribution is scheduled for May and June 2012. The Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) was completed for the nutrient sampling in Sequim and Dungeness Bays and 10 months of sampling was successfully completed. The data analysis has begun and the literature review is underway. Additionally, a land-use inventory; and an eel grass and macroalgae survey of Sequim Bay were conducted during this reporting period. A final report synthesizing information from nutrient assessment, land-use survey, and macroalgae and eelgrass survey will be produced.

(2) REFLECTION: We have enjoyed working with the EPA for the past two fiscal years and we look forward to finalizing our FY12 workplan to support our subrecipient tribes. We appreciate the time and pro-active attention that our project officer, Lisa Chang, has devoted to this award and to the positive working relationship between NWIFC and the EPA. Continued and consistent funding for these high priority tribal projects is of the utmost importance to Puget Sound restoration and protection and we look forward to continuing to work with the EPA in supporting our tribes and Puget Sound health.

